

Information hui evaluation report – September 2010

BACKGROUND

1. The following is a summary of events leading up to the round of information hui facilitated by Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku.
2. **In October 2008**, the Runanga was directed at the Annual General Meeting to lead Ngāpuhi into settlement with the Crown over its breaches against Te Tiriti o Waitangi.
3. **In March 2009**, a Runanga working group recommended to the Board that a committee, comprising two board members, the Runanga Chief Executive and Kaumatua and Kuia be established. The recommendation also included the committee be supported by a small project team working from the Runanga office. This committee is referred to as Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku.
4. **From April – May 2009**, Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku facilitated thirteen road show hui that were held throughout Aotearoa and one in Sydney to ask Ngāpuhi – *“how do you want to be represented?”* Followed by the rationale *“with up to 300 hapu, how do we organise ourselves?”* . The feedback from these initial hui was that Ngāpuhi wanted to settle and generally had confidence in the Runanga. There was also support for the Runanga to proceed with direct negotiations with the Crown once Deed of Mandate had been gained.
5. **On 25 July 2009**, Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku reported back to Kaumatua and Kuia on the first round of road show hui. Following robust discussions, Kaumatua and Kuia unanimously supported the following

Me Haere Te Runanga A Iwi O Ngāpuhi ki te korero ki a Ngāpuhi ki te patai, ma wai e pupuri i te mana hei whakatau i nga kereme o Ngāpuhi.

Moved: Pita Tipene (Ngati Hine)

Seconded: Rangi Thompson (Kaumatua and Kuia)

In favour: Unanimously carried

6. **Between September and October 2009**, Tuhoronuku facilitated a second round of fourteen Road Show hui throughout Aotearoa and [one] in Australia. Raniera Tau and Erima Henare introduced and facilitated presentations, supported by legal counsel, Greg Davis and Kaumatua and Kuia – Judah Heihei, Nora Rameka, Titewhai Harawira and Hone Sadler.

The purpose of the hui was to ask Ngāpuhi - *“who do you want to hold the mandate for Ngāpuhi when holding discussions with the Crown on Treaty Settlements”*.

At the conclusion of the second road show, Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku agreed:

- to support the hearings on He Whakaputanga and Te Tiriti o Waitangi;
- consider all views promoted by hapu and individuals; and
- to proceed seeking a Deed of Mandate from Ngāpuhi at the conclusion of the Waitangi Tribunal Hearings on He Whakaputanga and Te Tiriti o Waitangi.

7. **In November 2009**, at the Annual General Meeting of the Runanga, support was given to the settlement work carried out by Tuhoronuku, together with the direction that the settlement process proceeds with close involvement by hapu.
8. **In February 2010**, Tuhoronuku reported back to Kaumatua and Kuia and presented the proposed representation model, structure and Deed of Mandate strategy. After lengthy debate and approval, Kaumatua and Kuia instructed Tuhoronuku to take the model, structure and Deed of Mandate strategy to the wider Ngāpuhi community for consultation.
9. The model and structure were developed after considering discussions held with Ngāpuhi during the first two rounds of road show hui in 2009.

COMMENT

10. Between June and August 2010, Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku completed 14 (fourteen) information hui throughout Aotearoa and Australia (Sydney and Perth).
11. The information hui also provided an opportunity to discuss with Ngāpuhi how those living outside of Te Whare Tapu o Ngāpuhi might be represented.
12. Feedback and suggestions from this round of hui will be considered in the Deed of Mandate Strategy to be filed with the Office of Treaty Settlements in September 2010.

Summary of outcomes of the information hui:

- a. Fourteen Hui were held¹;
- b. In total 711 people attended the hui, averaging 50 attendees per hui;
- c. The Tuhoronuku website [www.tuhoronuku.com] received 12,394 page views from 1 June – mid August, while information hui were advertised and held. These page views came from 2,702 visits to the website. Of these visits 87% were from Aotearoa, 10% from Australia and 3% from other countries [8 countries].
- d. Composition of Tuhoronuku Team
 - Co-Facilitators: Raniera (Sonny) Tau and Erima Henare
 - Project Team: Lorraine Toki, Nicole Anderson, Simone Andersen
 - Legal Counsel: Tania Tetitaha
 - Kaumatua/Kuia: Titewhai Harawira, Dennis Hansen, Hone Sadler
 - Runanga: Teresa Tepania-Ashton (CEO)
 - Trustee Sponsor: Carol Dodd (two Hui held in Auckland)
- e. All hui supported the Waitangi Tribunal Hearings on He Whakaputanga and Te Tiriti hearings;

¹ Appendix One – Summary of venues, Roopu representation, attendance and key comments per hui

- f. All hui supported Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku continuing to direct negotiations at the conclusion of the Initial Waitangi Tribunal Hearings on He Whakaputanga and Te Tiriti o Waitangi;
- g. As per the first and second road show hui, Ngāpuhi want to be kept informed on strategic issues and how they can participate;
- h. Wellington, South Island and Perth, signalled an interest in formalising Ngāpuhi representation in their respective rohe to enable them to participate in key issues regarding Ngāpuhi. It was suggested by hui attendees that these groups be responsible for:
 - Sharing information among Ngāpuhi living in their area;
 - Providing a conduit back to the Runanga and hapu; and
 - Calling hui of Ngāpuhi in these areas as the need arises.
- i. As per the second road show hui, Whangaroa, Ngati Rehia, and Te Mahurehure preference is for hapu to lead the process, receive and manage settlement assets and for the Runanga to support this;
- j. Attendance at each Hui was between 15 and 120.
- k. During the course of the information hui, the minimum representation of Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku numbered four and the maximum representation of Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku numbered eight.
- l. At the invitation of Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku, all information hui held in Aotearoa were independently observed by Te Puni Kokiri. Due to resourcing constraints, Te Puni Kokiri did not attend hui in Australia. The presence of Te Puni Kokiri was not advertised in media or during the hui.
- m. All hui were recorded via video and typed transcripts

Communications

- 13. To promote interest and participation a significant undertaking was made to capture the widest possible Ngāpuhi audience. As per the first two road show hui rounds, Huria Anders were engaged to assist in the development and implementation of the communications strategy and media releases.
- 14. The following communication strategy highlights were implemented
 - Maori Television advertisements;
 - Iwi radio - Northland
 - Community newspapers – Northland and Auckland
 - Newspaper editorial – NZ Herald
 - Group Texting – Nelson, Christchurch and Invercargill
 - Invitation Booklet – 5,000 delivered in Northland. This initiative enabled Ngapuhi resident in Northland to communicate with whanau across the motu.

Attendance

15. At every hui, a head count was undertaken and in keeping with the first two road show hui more people attended the hui than signed attendance registrations. The majority of attendees weren't claimants.
16. Trustees are asked to note that while the turn out of hui participants at some of the hui, particularly Hamilton, Wellington and Christchurch, was low, key people attended who are considered influencers in their respective communities. Nor, did the low attendance impact on the quality of discussion or support for Tuhoronuku.
17. It's also important to remember that these hui are pre-mandating discussions. Once mandating proper commences, it is expected that the participating numbers in hui will increase, however hui participation across te ao Maori is generally declining.

Summary of information hui

18. While the main purpose of the hui was to consult with Ngāpuhi on a proposed Tuhoronuku representation model, structure and Deed of Mandate strategy for Ngāpuhi to negotiate with the Crown, the hui also provided an opportunity for Ngāpuhi participants to discuss wider representation of those areas largely populated by Ngāpuhi.
19. At all hui, presenters clarified that the hui were information hui, not Deed of Mandate hui.
20. The further south Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku travelled, the more receptive participants were to engaging in discussion on direct negotiations, the representation model and the Initial Waitangi Tribunal Hearings currently being held.
21. Attendees living outside of Te Whare Tapu o Ngāpuhi identified themselves as Ngāpuhi. At times hapu affiliations were acknowledged however being Ngāpuhi was more important than identifying Ngāpuhi hapu affiliations.
22. A request for the Runanga to support another group to seek Deed of Mandate was put to Tuhoronuku. The response to this request was that the Runanga would not be supporting another entity or other groups to duplicate the same efforts as Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku.
23. The hui in Perth, Australia was significant and provided an opportunity to introduce the kaupapa of Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku. Depending on budget availability, a follow-up hui to fully brief Ngāpuhi who reside in Perth will be considered later in the year. Consideration will also be given to including Melbourne.
24. All hui had consistent messages albeit from various perspectives:
 - There is a wide level of support throughout Ngāpuhi for settlement negotiations to proceed;
 - As per the second road show hui, there is unanimous support for the Runanga to be involved albeit with conditions from hapu which are not necessarily inclusive of all Ngāpuhi;
 - There is unanimous support for the Waitangi Tribunal hearings on He Whakaputanga and Te Tiriti o Waitangi;

- There was overwhelming support for Tuhoronuku to take the feedback from the information hui on board for the purposes of the Deed of Mandate Strategy and move to Deed of Mandate after Initial Hearings.
- The Tuhoronuku model is inclusive of all Ngāpuhi.

Key points presented by Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku

Key Messages:

- A recap on progress to date was provided;
- These information hui are not Deed of Mandate hui;
- The Runanga is funding these hui from Ngāpuhi fisheries assets;
- This is an all of Ngāpuhi approach to settling Ngāpuhi grievances with the Crown for the benefit of all Ngāpuhi;
- All Ngāpuhi can be involved in this model;
- The starting point for Ngāpuhi – our tupuna did not cede sovereignty;
- Any settlement with the Crown will be a settlement on behalf of all Ngāpuhi;
- Te Runanga a-Iwi-o Ngāpuhi will be supporting this process administratively and financially. Tuhoronuku may decide to move away from Te Runanga a-Iwi-o Ngāpuhi once Tuhoronuku is funded by the Crown;
- Ngāpuhi will decide who receives and manages settlement assets

The Proposed Model and Structure

- Hapu lead the charge, this model creates the opportunity to do that;
- The proposed model and structure of Tuhoronuku was presented for discussion;
- The role of Hapu Kaikorero was explained;
- Membership of Tuhoronuku was explained including the role of hapu, Kaumatua, Kuia and Runanga Representatives;
- Negotiators will be appointed by Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku;
- Tuhoronuku Representatives cannot be Negotiators;

The Deed of Mandate Strategy:

- These hui are to present a strategy or plan for Ngāpuhi and the Crown to discuss settlement;
- Sets out how hapu will be involved in the process;
- Sets out how Hapu Representatives will be identified and appointed to Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku;
- Sets out how Kaumatua and Kuia will identify and appoint representatives to Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku;
- Sets out how Negotiators will be appointed;
- Confirms the position of Tuhoronuku as a committee of Te Runanga A Iwi o Ngāpuhi;
- Confirms the process will be financially and administratively supported by Te Runanga A Iwi o Ngāpuhi through Te Roopu O Tuhoronuku;
- Sets out a voting process to enable Ngāpuhi to accept or reject the Deed of Mandate;
- Timeframe for Deed of Mandate.

Parallel Process

- Tuhoronuku advised all hui that the Crown's policy is not to negotiate with a claimant group while their claims are being heard by the Waitangi Tribunal.

However, Minister Finlayson (Minister in charge of Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations) will consider the possibility of a parallel process occurring should stage two Hearings be confirmed by the Waitangi Tribunal.

Common Themes

25. The common theme from each hui was as per the second road show hui - for Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku to continue, to support the hearings on He Whakaputanga and Te Tiriti o Waitangi and to commence negotiations at the conclusion of these Hearings.
26. As per the second road show hui, there is wide support for Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku to proceed with seeking a Deed of Mandate to negotiate with the Crown;
27. Many of the hui outside of the Te Whare Tapu o Ngāpuhi had concerns about how they would be represented through hapu at home and requested a representative for them on Tuhoronuku;
28. Hui within Te Whare Tapu o Ngāpuhi spoke of wanting to see the balance of power held by hapu;

The outcomes of the information hui:

- Tuhoronuku is to seek Deed of Mandate;
- The Runanga is to be involved;
- Hapu are to lead the process;
- Ngāpuhi residing outside Te Whare Tapu o Ngāpuhi to be included;
- Deed of Mandate to be sought at the conclusion of the Initial Hearings on He Whakaputanga o Nu Tireni and Te Tiriti o Waitangi

THE CHALLENGE

29. While Te Whare Tapu o Ngāpuhi is the home of the Ngāpuhi nation, 40% live in Manukau City, 20% live in Waitakere City and 13% live in the North. Ngāpuhi also has a large population domicile in Australia. Such an extensive population mostly domiciled outside of the traditional boundaries of Ngāpuhi presents an enormous challenge in engaging with Ngāpuhi.
30. The challenge is communications and ensuring that as many Ngāpuhi as possible have the opportunity to participate in settling Ngāpuhi's grievances with the Crown. In keeping with this, Tuhoronuku has a commitment that it will be informed by Ngāpuhi hapu, acting through their appointed representatives to Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku and will include Ngāpuhi irrespective of where they reside.
31. Given the young nature of the population and the pre-dominance of Ngāpuhi domicile in urban areas, many of the traditional models of communication and participation may not be effective.

32. Communications is therefore based around engaging Ngāpuhi in a strategy that includes:
- Hui on Marae;
 - Hui in venues other than Marae;
 - Creation of a web site and other electronic communication tools;
 - Direct communication via e-panui and texts; and
 - Radio, print and television media campaigns.

Conclusion

33. As reported in the Second Road Show Evaluation in November 2009, Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku acknowledge hapu have an important role to play however the role of hapu should not be fine tuned to meet a set of predetermined criteria of a minority of Ngāpuhi hapu, the government or other iwi. That is, the negotiation process is not solely reliant on hapu; there is a wider Ngāpuhi constituent base to consider;
34. Further, based on the premise that each hapu has an obligation and priority to ensure their respective interests and benefits are considered, taking this position potentially negates the possibility of hapu being able to ensure all Ngāpuhi will benefit from a settlement.
35. Finally, Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku have agreed:
- to continue supporting the Initial Waitangi Tribunal Hearings on He Whakaputanga and Te Tiriti o Waitangi;
 - consider all views promoted by hapu and individuals;
 - to proceed seeking a Deed of Mandate from Ngāpuhi at the conclusion of the Waitangi Tribunal Hearings on He Whakaputanga and Te Tiriti o Waitangi;

Summary of venues, representation, attendance and outcome of information hui [per hui]

Date, Venue, Te Roopu o Tuhoronuku Representation	Attendance	Outcome
<p>28 June: Whangarei Pehiaweri Marae</p> <p>Representation = 4 Raniera (Sonny) Tau, Lorraine Toki, Tania Tetitaha, Nicole Anderson</p> <p>Runanga Representation Teresa Tepania-Ashton</p>	<p>27 signed 49 attended</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Hearings have laid the whariki for settling with the Crown; ▪ Don't let the Crown drive the process, Ngāpuhi should drive the process; ▪ What will make up the settlement package for Ngāpuhi; ▪ Rangatahi need to be represented in this model; ▪ Need to use the collective strength of Ngāpuhi to ensure other Iwi don't push into our rohe; ▪ The strength of the model is that it is inclusive, all hapu can be represented; ▪ Ensure we have looked at models other Iwi have used for settlement; ▪ The model presented is a table that hapu can sit around and raise their issues; ▪ Ngāpuhi need their own bank; ▪ Ngāpuhi have been holding hui for ten years and we still don't know what we want.
<p>29 June: Hokianga Whakamaharatanga Marae</p> <p>Representation = 4 Raniera (Sonny) Tau, Lorraine Toki, Tania Tetitaha, Nicole Anderson</p> <p>Runanga Representation Teresa Tepania-Ashton</p>	<p>25 signed 27 attended</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Need to break down hapu further and use clusters to appoint representatives; ▪ Need to see what the settlement entity will look like now so we know who we are supporting; ▪ Ensure we have looked at other models used by other Iwi for settlement; ▪ This model looks good, we haven't had anyone else present a model; ▪ Need to resource hapu to do this work; ▪ The Runanga is the only credible entity in Ngāpuhi to lead this process; ▪ Include education [Whare Wananga o Ngāpuhi] as an item for the negotiating table; ▪ Need to represent all of Ngāpuhi and taura here in this model, 11 members not enough; ▪ Need to discuss where the settlement putea will sit now, people are worried about this; ▪ Need a Ngāpuhi bank set up as part of settlement; ▪ The model is inclusive of Ngāpuhi; ▪ Need confirmation from the Crown as to whether they will consider a parallel process for Hearings and Negotiations; ▪ Our hapu are focussed on Hearings at the moment; ▪ Do not close the door on direct settlement;

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Negotiators need to be accountable to Tuhoronuku; ▪ The balance of power should stay with the Ahi Kaa in terms of representatives.
<p>30 June: Kaikohe Pukerata Marae</p> <p>Representation = 5 Raniera (Sonny) Tau, Titewhai Harawira, Lorraine Toki, Nicole Anderson, Tania Tetitaha</p> <p>Runanga Representation Teresa Tepania-Ashton</p>	<p>19 signed 44 attended</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ This is inclusive – what will happen if Ngati Hine moves away from Ngāpuhi; ▪ The Runanga is the only credible organisation to do this mahi; ▪ Clarification that the Runanga has not been mandated to speak for Ngāpuhi; ▪ Need to be clear as to who the Kaikorero are and who they are speaking on behalf of; ▪ Ngāpuhi need to move on and get on with this work, we have mucked around for too long; ▪ Hapu Development fund scope needs to be broadened; ▪ Why won't the Runanga fund another group to do this work?
<p>1 July: Ngawha Ngawha Marae</p> <p>Representation = 5 Raniera (Sonny) Tau, Titewhai Harawira, Lorraine Toki, Tania Tetitaha, Nicole Anderson</p> <p>Runanga Representation Teresa Tepania-Ashton</p>	<p>53 signed 60 attended</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ This model looks like te Whakaminenga, nga rangatira, I like this model; ▪ We have not been presented with any other model, this model looks like one we can work with; ▪ Need to make sure we investigate other models that Iwi have used to settle; ▪ This model is inclusive of all Ngāpuhi; ▪ The Runanga has the structure to support this mahi, like the way this is moving forward; ▪ Our hapu are focussed on Hearings at the moment, we don't want to move into negotiations; ▪ Ngāpuhi need to get on with this work; ▪ Why even settle? If we let hapu come together we will get 100% back, not 1%; ▪ This model is open for negotiation, we can put our people in there; ▪ Our hapu want redress not money; ▪ If we choose not to be part of this, other hapu may come in over the top of us.
<p>2 July: Whangaroa Hiruharama Marae*(Tapui Marae cancelled)</p> <p>Representation = 5</p>	<p>26 signed 35 attended</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ This is the only model we have seen presented to us; ▪ Our hapu are focussed on Hearings at the moment before we go into direct settlement; ▪ We want to speak for our own hapu.

<p>Raniera (Sonny) Tau, Titewhai Harawira, Lorraine Toki, Nicole Anderson, Tania Tetitaha</p> <p>Runanga Representation Teresa Tepania-Ashton</p>		
<p>5 July: Central Auckland Te Mahurehure Marae</p> <p>Representation = 8 Raniera (Sonny) Tau, Erima Henare, Titewhai Harawira, Lorraine Toki, Tania Tetitaha, Nicole Anderson, Denis Hansen, Carol Dodd</p> <p>Runanga Representation Teresa Tepania-Ashton, Joe Bristowe, Wayne Wharerau</p>	<p>87 signed 110 attended</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Need to see how rangatahi can be represented in this model; ▪ The Runanga is the entity to drive this work, once we have done the mahi, we will be able to put everything in place; ▪ Need an organisation we can trust with money and the Runanga should be the entity; ▪ Kaumatua and Kuia could end up being Hapu Representatives too; ▪ Need to see clearly how 300 hapu can fit into 7 Representatives; ▪ Unanimous support for the Runanga to continue to Deed of Mandate.
<p>6 July: South Auckland Papakura Marae</p> <p>Representation = 7 Raniera (Sonny) Tau, Erima Henare, Titewhai Harawira, Tania Tetitaha, Lorraine Toki, Nicole Anderson, Denis Hansen, Carol Dodd</p> <p>Runanga Representation Teresa Tepania-Ashton, Joe Bristowe, Wayne Wharerau</p>	<p>58 signed 70 attended</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Need to find out if the Crown will support a parallel process for Waitangi Tribunal and direct negotiations; ▪ Need representatives for urban Maori, hapu at home won't represent us; ▪ The Runanga should have the mandate to speak on behalf of Ngāpuhi; ▪ Why has it taken so long to get to this point, we need to say to the crown we've waited a long time to settle.

<p>7 July: Hamilton Te Kohinga Marama Marae</p> <p>Representation = 7 Raniera (Sonny) Tau, Erima Henare, Titewhai Harawira, Lorraine Toki, Nicole Anderson, Tania Tetitaha</p> <p>Runanga Representation Teresa Tepania-Ashton</p>	<p>17 signed 17 attended</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Ngāpuhi need to move on and get on with this work; ▪ Need to engage Ngāpuhi to keep us moving forward, need to think of different ways to involve people; ▪ Suggest an odd number of Negotiators; ▪ Consider maps of Ngāpuhi boundaries, kaikorero to be the best, have an odd number in the negotiations team; ▪ Recognise our similarities not our differences.
<p>12 July: Wellington Kuratini Marae</p> <p>Representation = 7 Raniera (Sonny) Tau, Erima Henare, Titewhai Harawira, Denis Hansen, Lorraine Toki, Tania Tetitaha, Nicole Anderson</p> <p>Runanga Representation Teresa Tepania-Ashton</p>	<p>15 signed 15 attended</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Need to get our area together and organise a group, offered someone as a central contact point;
<p>13 July: Nelson Ministry of Education Building</p> <p>Representation = 7 Raniera (Sonny) Tau, Erima Henare, Titewhai Harawira, Denis Hansen, Lorraine Toki, Nicole Anderson, Tania Tetitaha</p>	<p>25 signed 30 attended</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Need to get together and set up a Group down here; ▪ Perhaps use clusters to appoint representatives, breaking the Ngāpuhi area down further; ▪ Support the way this is moving forward; ▪ Need to move this forward, we have waited long enough; ▪ Need representatives for urban Maori, hapu at home won't represent us.
<p>15 July: Invercargill Te Tomairangi Marae</p>	<p>17 signed 22 attended</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Need representatives for us living away from home, we won't be represented by hapu; ▪ Unanimous support to move toward Deed of Mandate.

<p>Representation = 7 Raniera (Sonny) Tau, Erima Henare, Titewhai Harawira, Denis Hansen, Lorraine Toki, Nicole Anderson, Tania Tetitaha</p> <p>Runanga Representation Teresa Tepania-Ashton</p>		
<p>31 July: Sydney Te Wairua Tapu Marae</p> <p>Representation = 7 Raniera (Sonny) Tau, Erima Henare, Titewhai Harawira, Hone Sadler, Lorraine Toki, Tania Tetitaha, Simone Andersen</p> <p>Runanga Representation = 2 Teresa Tepania-Ashton, Joe Bristowe</p>	<p>47 signed 88 attended</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Hapu definitions were discussed including; what makes a hapu, numbers of hapu, why hapu should lead the process; ▪ Many members of hapu based in Sydney; ▪ Need to unify and move forward; ▪ Some attendees did not want hapu to lead the process, there was concern about the skill level of some of those involved in hapu groups; ▪ With this being the third hui, attendees have had the opportunity to understand the process and feedback; ▪ Some hapu are stronger than others, how will this effect representation; ▪ Most important to build successful businesses and communication.
<p>3 August: Perth Wannaroo Library & Cultural Centre</p> <p>Representation = 7 Raniera (Sonny) Tau, Erima Henare, Titewhai Harawira, Hone Sadler, Lorraine Toki, Tania Tetitaha, Simone Andersen</p> <p>Runanga Representation Teresa Tepania-Ashton</p>	<p>36 signed 124 attended</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Background of journey to date provided; ▪ Means of communication with Tuhoronuku updated; ▪ Waitangi Tribunal process explained.

